Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

# Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Friedrich Wehrung

Université de Caen LMNO, CNRS UMR 6139 Département de Mathématiques 14032 Caen cedex *E-mail:* friedrich.wehrung01@unicaen.fr *URL:* http://wehrungf.users.lmno.cnrs.fr

### May 2022

# References

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  J. Adámek and J. Rosický, Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 189, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

P. Gillibert and F. Wehrung, From Objects to Diagrams for Ranges of Functors, Springer Lecture Notes 2029, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

- F. Wehrung, From non-commutative diagrams to anti-elementary classes, J. Math. Logic 21, no. 2 (2021), 2150011.
- **4** F. Wehrung, *Projective classes as images of accessible functors*, HAL-03580184.
- 5 References [2,3,4] above can be downloaded from https://wehrungf.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/pubs.html .

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".

Examples:

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- **Examples**: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings,

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- Examples: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings, Ordered K<sub>0</sub> groups of unit-regular rings,

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- Examples: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings, Ordered K<sub>0</sub> groups of unit-regular rings, Stone duals of spectra of abelian lattice-ordered groups,

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- Examples: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings, Ordered K<sub>0</sub> groups of unit-regular rings, Stone duals of spectra of abelian lattice-ordered groups, ... and many other classes.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

- Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem
- Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- Examples: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings, Ordered K<sub>0</sub> groups of unit-regular rings, Stone duals of spectra of abelian lattice-ordered groups, ... and many other classes.
- A way to define intractability is to state that C is not the class of models of any infinitary (not just first-order!) sentence (we'll say elementary).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

- Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem
- Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- We would like to prove that certain "naturally defined" categories C of models (say of first-order theories) are "intractable".
- Examples: Posets of finitely generated ideals of rings, Ordered K<sub>0</sub> groups of unit-regular rings, Stone duals of spectra of abelian lattice-ordered groups, ... and many other classes.
- A way to define intractability is to state that C is not the class of models of any infinitary (not just first-order!) sentence (we'll say elementary).
- We will use a stronger notion of intractability.

# Introducing a motivating example

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  (lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G) = { $\langle a \rangle \mid a \in G^+$ } where  $\langle a \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in G \mid (\exists n < \omega)(|x| \le na)\}$ . Let  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{D \mid (\exists G)(D \cong \operatorname{Id}_{c} G)\}$ .

# Introducing a motivating example

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  (lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G) = { $\langle a \rangle \mid a \in G^+$ } where  $\langle a \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in G \mid (\exists n < \omega)(|x| \le na)\}$ . Let  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{D \mid (\exists G)(D \cong \operatorname{Id}_{c} G)\}$ .

■ Every member of Id<sub>c</sub> A is a distributive 0-lattice. It is completely normal (abbrev. CN), that is, it satisfies

 $(\forall a, b)(\exists x, y)(a \lor b = a \lor y = x \lor b \& x \land y = 0).$ 

# Introducing a motivating example

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  (lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G) = { $\langle a \rangle \mid a \in G^+$ } where  $\langle a \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in G \mid (\exists n < \omega)(|x| \le na)\}$ . Let  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{D \mid (\exists G)(D \cong \operatorname{Id}_{c} G)\}$ .

■ Every member of Id<sub>c</sub> A is a distributive 0-lattice. It is completely normal (abbrev. CN), that is, it satisfies

 $(\forall a, b)(\exists x, y)(a \lor b = a \lor y = x \lor b \& x \land y = 0).$ 

 Every member of Id<sub>c</sub> A has countably based differences (abbrev. CBD), that is, it satisfies

 $(\forall a, b)(\exists_{n < \omega} c_n)(\forall x)(a \le b \lor x \Leftrightarrow c_n \le x \text{ for some } n).$ 

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • For an ideal *I* in a distributive lattice *D*,  $x \equiv_I y$  if  $(\exists z \in I)(x \lor z = y \lor z)$ . Set  $D/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D/\equiv_I$ .

<ロト < 部 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < @ 5/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ For an ideal *I* in a distributive lattice *D*,  $x \equiv_I y$  if  $(\exists z \in I)(x \lor z = y \lor z)$ . Set  $D/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D/\equiv_I$ .

• A bounded distributive lattice D satisfies Ploščica's Condition (abbrev. Plo) if for every  $a \in D$  and every collection  $(\mathfrak{m}_i \mid i \in I)$  of maximal ideals of  $\downarrow a, \downarrow a / \bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}_i$ has cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{card} I}$ .

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

5/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ For an ideal *I* in a distributive lattice *D*,  $x \equiv_I y$  if  $(\exists z \in I)(x \lor z = y \lor z)$ . Set  $D/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D/\equiv_I$ .

• A bounded distributive lattice D satisfies Ploščica's Condition (abbrev. Plo) if for every  $a \in D$  and every collection  $(\mathfrak{m}_i \mid i \in I)$  of maximal ideals of  $\downarrow a, \downarrow a / \bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}_i$ has cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{card} I}$ .

### Theorem (Ploščica 2021)

Every member of  $Id_c A$  satisfies Plo. On the other hand, 0-DLat&CN&CBD does not imply Plo.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ For an ideal *I* in a distributive lattice *D*,  $x \equiv_I y$  if  $(\exists z \in I)(x \lor z = y \lor z)$ . Set  $D/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D/\equiv_I$ .

■ A bounded distributive lattice *D* satisfies Ploščica's Condition (abbrev. Plo) if for every  $a \in D$  and every collection  $(\mathfrak{m}_i \mid i \in I)$  of maximal ideals of  $\downarrow a, \downarrow a / \bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}_i$ has cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{card} I}$ .

### Theorem (Ploščica 2021)

Every member of  $Id_c A$  satisfies Plo. On the other hand, 0-DLat&CN&CBD does not imply Plo.

Question: Does the conjunction 0-DLat&CN&CBD&Plo (and more...) characterize the members of Id<sub>c</sub> A?

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ For an ideal *I* in a distributive lattice *D*,  $x \equiv_I y$  if  $(\exists z \in I)(x \lor z = y \lor z)$ . Set  $D/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D/\equiv_I$ .

■ A bounded distributive lattice *D* satisfies Ploščica's Condition (abbrev. Plo) if for every  $a \in D$  and every collection  $(\mathfrak{m}_i \mid i \in I)$  of maximal ideals of  $\downarrow a, \downarrow a / \bigcap_i \mathfrak{m}_i$ has cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{card} I}$ .

### Theorem (Ploščica 2021)

Every member of  $Id_c A$  satisfies Plo. On the other hand, 0-DLat&CN&CBD does not imply Plo.

- Question: Does the conjunction 0-DLat&CN&CBD&Plo (and more...) characterize the members of Id<sub>c</sub> A?
- Answer: A strong NO under (a fragment of) GCH, with a counterexample of cardinality ℵ<sub>4</sub>.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathsf{ar})$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cap \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \emptyset$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin ar[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}]$ .

うへで 6/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Vocabulary: v = (v<sub>ope</sub>, v<sub>rel</sub>, ar) with v<sub>ope</sub> ∩ v<sub>rel</sub> = Ø and ar: v<sub>ope</sub> ∪ v<sub>rel</sub> → ordinals (usually) with 0 ∉ ar[v<sub>rel</sub>].
 ar(s) = 0 def / s is a "constant".

6/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{ope}, \mathbf{v}_{rel}, ar)$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{ope} \cap \mathbf{v}_{rel} = \emptyset$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{ope} \cup \mathbf{v}_{rel} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin ar[\mathbf{v}_{rel}]$ .
- $\operatorname{ar}(s) = 0 \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\iff} s$  is a "constant".
- Add to this a large enough set ("alphabet") of "variables".

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

6/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathsf{ar})$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cap \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \emptyset$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin \operatorname{ar}[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}]$ .

• 
$$\operatorname{ar}(s) = 0 \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\iff} s$$
 is a "constant".

- Add to this a large enough set ("alphabet") of "variables".
- **model for v** (or **v**-structure):  $\mathbf{A} = (A, s^{\mathbf{A}})_{s \in \mathbf{v}_{ope} \cup \mathbf{v}_{rel}}$ , with the interpretations  $s^{\mathbf{A}}$  defined the usual way.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathsf{ar})$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cap \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \emptyset$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin \operatorname{ar}[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}]$ .
- $\operatorname{ar}(s) = 0 \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\iff} s$  is a "constant".
- Add to this a large enough set ("alphabet") of "variables".
- **model for v** (or **v**-structure):  $\mathbf{A} = (A, s^{\mathbf{A}})_{s \in \mathbf{v}_{ope} \cup \mathbf{v}_{rel}}$ , with the interpretations  $s^{\mathbf{A}}$  defined the usual way.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

6/22

■ Str(v) <sup>def</sup> = category of all v-structures with v-homomorphisms (it is locally presentable).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathsf{ar})$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cap \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \varnothing$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin ar[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}]$ .
- $\operatorname{ar}(s) = 0 \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\iff} s$  is a "constant".
- Add to this a large enough set ("alphabet") of "variables".
- **model for v** (or **v**-structure):  $\mathbf{A} = (A, s^{\mathbf{A}})_{s \in \mathbf{v}_{ope} \cup \mathbf{v}_{rel}}$ , with the interpretations  $s^{\mathbf{A}}$  defined the usual way.
- Str(v) <sup>def</sup> = category of all v-structures with v-homomorphisms (it is locally presentable).
- **Terms**: closure of variables under all functions symbols.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- Vocabulary:  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathsf{ar})$  with  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cap \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \emptyset$  and ar:  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}} \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \rightarrow$  ordinals (usually) with  $0 \notin ar[\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{rel}}]$ .
- $\operatorname{ar}(s) = 0 \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\iff} s$  is a "constant".
- Add to this a large enough set ("alphabet") of "variables".
- model for **v** (or **v**-structure):  $\mathbf{A} = (A, s^{\mathbf{A}})_{s \in \mathbf{v}_{ope} \cup \mathbf{v}_{rel}}$ , with the interpretations  $s^{\mathbf{A}}$  defined the usual way.
- Str(v) <sup>def</sup> = category of all v-structures with v-homomorphisms (it is locally presentable).
- **Terms**: closure of variables under all functions symbols.
- atomic formulas: s = t, for terms s and t, or  $R(t_{\xi} | \xi \in ar(R))$  where the  $t_{\xi}$  are terms and  $R \in \mathbf{v}_{rel}$ .

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

# • Here $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ are "extended cardinals" ( $\infty$ allowed) with $\omega \leq \lambda \leq \kappa \leq \infty$ .

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Here  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are "extended cardinals" ( $\infty$  allowed) with  $\omega \leq \lambda \leq \kappa \leq \infty$ .

• For any vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closure of all atomic}$   $\mathbf{v}$ -formulas under disjunctions of  $< \kappa$  members ( $\bigvee_{i \in I} E_i$ where card  $I < \kappa$ ), negation, and existential quantification over sets of less than  $\lambda$  variables (( $\exists X$ )E with card  $X < \lambda$ , or, in indexed form,  $\exists \vec{x} E$  with card  $I < \lambda$ ).

7/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Here  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are "extended cardinals" ( $\infty$  allowed) with  $\omega \leq \lambda \leq \kappa \leq \infty$ .

• For any vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closure of all atomic}$   $\mathbf{v}$ -formulas under disjunctions of  $< \kappa$  members ( $\bigvee_{i \in I} E_i$ where card  $I < \kappa$ ), negation, and existential quantification over sets of less than  $\lambda$  variables (( $\exists X$ )E with card  $X < \lambda$ , or, in indexed form,  $\exists \vec{x} E$  with card  $I < \lambda$ ).

■ Satisfaction  $A \models E(\vec{a})$  defined as usual (A is a v-structure,  $E \in \mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty}(v)$ ,  $\vec{a}$ : free variables (E)  $\rightarrow A$ ).

7/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- Here  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are "extended cardinals" ( $\infty$  allowed) with  $\omega \leq \lambda \leq \kappa \leq \infty$ .
- For any vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closure of all atomic}$   $\mathbf{v}$ -formulas under disjunctions of  $< \kappa$  members ( $\bigvee_{i \in I} E_i$ where card  $I < \kappa$ ), negation, and existential quantification over sets of less than  $\lambda$  variables (( $\exists X$ )E with card  $X < \lambda$ , or, in indexed form,  $\exists \vec{x} E$  with card  $I < \lambda$ ).
- Satisfaction  $\mathbf{A} \models E(\vec{a})$  defined as usual ( $\mathbf{A}$  is a v-structure,  $E \in \mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v}), \ \vec{a}$ : free variables (E)  $\rightarrow A$ ).
- $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$ -elementary class:
  - $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathsf{E}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{Str}(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{A} \models \mathsf{E} \} \text{ where } \mathsf{E} \text{ is an } \\ \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \text{-sentence.}$

Projective
classes as
images of
accessible
functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

### A class ${\mathfrak C}$ of ${\boldsymbol v}\text{-structures}$ is

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 の Q (や)
8/22

A class C of **v**-structures is

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ projective over  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev.  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda})$ ) if there are a vocabulary  $\mathbf{w} \supseteq \mathbf{v}$  and a sentence  $E \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{w})$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{M} \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{w}} \mid \mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{w}}(E) \}.$ 

■ relatively projective over  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev. RPC( $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$ )) if there are a unary predicate symbol U, a vocabulary  $\mathbf{w} \supseteq \mathbf{v} \cup \{\mathbf{U}\}$ , and a sentence  $\mathbf{E} \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{w})$  such that  $\mathscr{C} = \{\mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{M}}|_{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{E}), \ \mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$  closed under  $\mathbf{v}_{ope}\}$ .

A class C of **v**-structures is

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- **projective over**  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev.  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda})$ ) if there are a versabulary  $w \supset v$  and a sentence  $E \subset \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(w)$  such that
  - vocabulary  $\mathbf{w} \supseteq \mathbf{v}$  and a sentence  $\mathsf{E} \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{w})$  such that  $\mathscr{C} = \{ \mathbf{M} \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{v}} \mid \mathbf{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{E}) \}.$

■ relatively projective over  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev. RPC( $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$ )) if there are a unary predicate symbol U, a vocabulary  $\mathbf{w} \supseteq \mathbf{v} \cup \{U\}$ , and a sentence  $\mathsf{E} \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{w})$  such that  $\mathscr{C} = \{\mathbf{U}^{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathbf{v}} \mid \mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{E}), \ \mathbf{U}^{\mathcal{M}}$  closed under  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}\}$ .

■ Hence  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}) \subseteq RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda})$ . Note that  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}) \subsetneqq RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega})$  (even on finite structures).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

# A class $\mathcal C$ of **v**-structures is

■ projective over  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev.  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda})$ ) if there are a vocabulary  $w \supseteq v$  and a sentence  $E \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(w)$  such that  $C = \{M \upharpoonright_{v} \mid M \in Mod_{w}(E)\}.$ 

■ relatively projective over  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  (abbrev. RPC( $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$ )) if there are a unary predicate symbol U, a vocabulary  $\mathbf{w} \supseteq \mathbf{v} \cup \{U\}$ , and a sentence  $\mathsf{E} \in \mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}(\mathbf{w})$  such that  $\mathscr{C} = \{\mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{M}} |_{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{E}), \ \mathbf{U}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$  closed under  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{ope}}\}$ .

■ Hence  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}) \subseteq RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda})$ . Note that  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}) \subsetneqq RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega})$  (even on finite structures).

### Theorem (W 2021)

Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. Then  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda}) = RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$ (in full generality; no restrictions on vocabularies). Moreover, if  $\lambda$  is singular, then  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda}) = PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda^+})$ .

# Examples of "elementary" classes

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Finiteness (of the ambiant universe) is  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ :

 $\bigvee_{n \leq \omega} (\exists_{i < n} x_i) (\forall x) \bigvee_{i < n} (x = x_i).$ 

# Examples of "elementary" classes

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Finiteness (of the ambiant universe) is  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ :

$$\bigvee_{n<\omega}(\exists_{i< n}x_i)(\forall x)\bigvee_{i< n}(x=x_i).$$

• Well-foundedness (of the ambiant poset) is  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega_1}$ :  $(\forall_{n < \omega} x_n) \bigvee_{n < \omega} (x_{n+1} \not< x_n).$ 

# Examples of "elementary" classes

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems • Finiteness (of the ambiant universe) is  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ :

$$\bigvee_{n<\omega}(\exists_{i< n}x_i)(\forall x)\bigvee_{i< n}(x=x_i).$$

Well-foundedness (of the ambiant poset) is L<sub>ω1ω1</sub>:
 (∀<sub>n<ω</sub>x<sub>n</sub>) W<sub>n<ω</sub> (x<sub>n+1</sub> ≮ x<sub>n</sub>).

• Torsion-freeness (of a group) is  $\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$ : $\bigwedge_{0 < n < \omega} (\forall x)(x^n = 1 \Rightarrow x = 1).$
## An example of RPC (that turns out to be PC)

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems •  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} = (\boldsymbol{M}, \cdot, 1) \text{ monoid } | (\exists \boldsymbol{G} \text{ group})(\boldsymbol{M} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}) \} \text{ is,}$ by definition,  $\operatorname{RPC}(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}).$ 

> <ロト < 部ト < 目ト < 目ト 目 の Q (P 10/22

## An example of RPC (that turns out to be $\mathrm{PC})$

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems •  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} = (\boldsymbol{M}, \cdot, 1) \text{ monoid } | (\exists \boldsymbol{G} \text{ group})(\boldsymbol{M} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}) \} \text{ is,}$ by definition,  $\operatorname{RPC}(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}).$ 

■ Here v = (., 1), w = (., 1, U) for a unary predicate U, the required E states that the given w-structure is a group (so "U<sup>G</sup> is v-closed in G" means that U interprets a submonoid of G).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

10/22

## An example of RPC (that turns out to be $\mathrm{PC})$

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems •  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} = (\boldsymbol{M}, \cdot, 1) \text{ monoid } | (\exists \boldsymbol{G} \text{ group})(\boldsymbol{M} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}) \} \text{ is,}$ by definition,  $\operatorname{RPC}(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}).$ 

- Here v = (·, 1), w = (·, 1, U) for a unary predicate U, the required E states that the given w-structure is a group (so "U<sup>G</sup> is v-closed in G" means that U interprets a submonoid of G).
- By Mal'cev's work, C = {M | (∀n < ω)(M ⊨ E<sub>n</sub>)} for an effectively constructed sequence (E<sub>n</sub> | n < ω) of quasi-identities over v, not reducible to any finite subset.</p>

## An example of RPC (that turns out to be $\mathrm{PC})$

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems •  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} = (\boldsymbol{M}, \cdot, 1) \text{ monoid } | (\exists \boldsymbol{G} \text{ group})(\boldsymbol{M} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}) \} \text{ is,}$ by definition,  $\operatorname{RPC}(\mathscr{L}_{\omega\omega}).$ 

- Here v = (., 1), w = (., 1, U) for a unary predicate U, the required E states that the given w-structure is a group (so "U<sup>G</sup> is v-closed in G" means that U interprets a submonoid of G).
- By Mal'cev's work, C = {M | (∀n < ω)(M ⊨ E<sub>n</sub>)} for an effectively constructed sequence (E<sub>n</sub> | n < ω) of quasi-identities over v, not reducible to any finite subset.</p>
- Nonetheless,

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ \boldsymbol{M} \mid (\exists \text{ group structure } \boldsymbol{G} \text{ on } \boldsymbol{M})(\exists f : \boldsymbol{M} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{G}) \} \text{ is } PC(\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}).$ 

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let C <sup>def</sup> {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let
  C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).
- For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $Id_c G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G. Let  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Id_c G \mid G \text{ Abelian } \ell\text{-group}\}.$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

- For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let
  C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).
- For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G. Let  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \mid G \text{ Abelian } \ell$ -group}.
- For a commutative unital ring A, Φ(A) <sup>def</sup>=Stone dual of the real spectrum of A (it is a bounded distributive lattice). Let C <sup>def</sup>= {Φ(A) | A commutative unital ring}.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

- For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let
  C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).
- For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G. Let  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \mid G \text{ Abelian } \ell$ -group}.
- For a commutative unital ring A, Φ(A) <sup>def</sup>=Stone dual of the real spectrum of A (it is a bounded distributive lattice). Let C <sup>def</sup>= {Φ(A) | A commutative unital ring}.
- All those classes are  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega})$ .

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

- For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let
  C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).
- For an Abelian  $\ell$ -group G,  $\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  lattice of all principal  $\ell$ -ideals of G. Let  $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\operatorname{Id}_{c} G \mid G \text{ Abelian } \ell$ -group}.
- For a commutative unital ring A, Φ(A) <sup>def</sup>=Stone dual of the real spectrum of A (it is a bounded distributive lattice). Let C <sup>def</sup>= {Φ(A) | A commutative unital ring}.
- All those classes are  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega})$ .
- Observe that they are all defined as images of functors.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

- For a unital ring R, Id<sub>c</sub> R <sup>def</sup> = (∨, 0)-semilattice of all finitely generated two-sided ideals of R. Let
  C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> R | R unital ring} (up to isomorphism).
- For an Abelian ℓ-group G, Id<sub>c</sub> G <sup>def</sup> = lattice of all principal ℓ-ideals of G. Let C <sup>def</sup> = {Id<sub>c</sub> G | G Abelian ℓ-group}.
- For a commutative unital ring A, Φ(A) <sup>def</sup>=Stone dual of the real spectrum of A (it is a bounded distributive lattice). Let C <sup>def</sup>= {Φ(A) | A commutative unital ring}.
- All those classes are  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\omega_1\omega})$ .
- Observe that they are all defined as images of functors.
- We will see that none of those classes is co-PC(L<sub>∞∞</sub>) (i.e., complement of a PC(L<sub>∞∞</sub>)).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Let $\lambda$ be a regular cardinal.

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  A category S is λ-accessible if it has all λ-directed colimits and it has a λ-directed colimit-dense subset S<sup>†</sup>, consisting of λ-presentable objects.

A D > A D > A D > A D >

12/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth svstems Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal.

- A category S is λ-accessible if it has all λ-directed colimits and it has a λ-directed colimit-dense subset S<sup>†</sup>, consisting of λ-presentable objects.
- One can then take S<sup>†</sup> = Pres<sub>λ</sub> S, "the" set of all λ-presentable objects in S (up to isomorphism).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal.

- A category S is λ-accessible if it has all λ-directed colimits and it has a λ-directed colimit-dense subset S<sup>†</sup>, consisting of λ-presentable objects.
- One can then take S<sup>†</sup> = Pres<sub>λ</sub> S, "the" set of all λ-presentable objects in S (up to isomorphism).
- A functor Φ: S → T is λ-continuous if it preserves λ-directed colimits. If S and T are both λ-accessible categories, we say that Φ is a λ-accessible functor.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Let $\lambda$ be a regular cardinal.

- A category S is λ-accessible if it has all λ-directed colimits and it has a λ-directed colimit-dense subset S<sup>†</sup>, consisting of λ-presentable objects.
- One can then take S<sup>†</sup> = Pres<sub>λ</sub> S, "the" set of all λ-presentable objects in S (up to isomorphism).
- A functor Φ: S → T is λ-continuous if it preserves λ-directed colimits. If S and T are both λ-accessible categories, we say that Φ is a λ-accessible functor.
- There are many examples: **Str**(**v**), quasivarieties...

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

# Say that a vocabulary **v** is $\lambda$ -ary if every symbol in **v** has arity $< \lambda$ .

<ロト < 部ト < 目ト < 目ト 目 の < @ 13/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Say that a vocabulary  ${\bf v}$  is  $\lambda\text{-ary}$  if every symbol in  ${\bf v}$  has arity  $<\lambda.$ 

#### Theorem (W 2021)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal, let **v** be a  $\lambda$ -ary vocabulary, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of **v**-structures. Then TFAE:

- **1**  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$  (resp.,  $RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$ )-definable.
- 2 There are a λ-accessible category δ and a λ-continuous functor (that can then be taken faithful) Φ: δ → Str(v) with Φ(δ) = C.

13/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Say that a vocabulary **v** is  $\lambda$ -ary if every symbol in **v** has arity  $< \lambda$ .

#### Theorem (W 2021)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal, let **v** be a  $\lambda$ -ary vocabulary, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of **v**-structures. Then TFAE:

- **1**  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$  (resp.,  $RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$ )-definable.
- 2 There are a λ-accessible category δ and a λ-continuous functor (that can then be taken faithful) Φ: δ → Str(v) with Φ(δ) = C.

• Recall that  $\Phi(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} \mid (\exists S \in \operatorname{Ob} S) (\boldsymbol{M} \cong \Phi(S)) \}.$ 

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Say that a vocabulary  ${\bf v}$  is  $\lambda\text{-ary}$  if every symbol in  ${\bf v}$  has arity  $<\lambda.$ 

#### Theorem (W 2021)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal, let **v** be a  $\lambda$ -ary vocabulary, and let C be a class of **v**-structures. Then TFAE:

- **1**  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$  (resp.,  $RPC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\lambda})$ )-definable.
- 2 There are a λ-accessible category S and a λ-continuous functor (that can then be taken faithful) Φ: S → Str(v) with Φ(S) = C.
- Recall that  $\Phi(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{M} \mid (\exists S \in \operatorname{Ob} S) (\boldsymbol{M} \cong \Phi(S)) \}.$
- The assumption that v be λ-ary cannot be dispensed with (counterexamples for both directions, involving idempotence and emptiness, respectively).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.

<ロ > < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > こ 書 ・ つ へ () 14/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

#### Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

14/22

• Game formula (of Gale-Stewart kind):  $\exists \vec{x} E(\vec{x})$  is  $(\forall x_0)(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots E(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$ 

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

#### Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

- Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.
- Game formula (of Gale-Stewart kind):  $\exists \vec{x} E(\vec{x})$  is  $(\forall x_0)(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots E(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$
- Can be interpreted via a game with two players, ∀ (who plays all x<sub>2n</sub>) and ∃ (who plays all x<sub>2n+1</sub>). Hence ∀ (resp., ∃) wins iff E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ...) (resp., ¬E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ...)).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.

- Game formula (of Gale-Stewart kind):  $\exists \vec{x} E(\vec{x})$  is  $(\forall x_0)(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots E(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$
- Can be interpreted via a game with two players, ∀ (who plays all x<sub>2n</sub>) and ∃ (who plays all x<sub>2n+1</sub>). Hence ∀ (resp., ∃) wins iff E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ...) (resp., ¬E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ...)).
- The game above has "clock"  $\omega$ .

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.

- Game formula (of Gale-Stewart kind):  $\exists \vec{x} E(\vec{x})$  is  $(\forall x_0)(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots E(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$
- Can be interpreted via a game with two players, ∀ (who plays all x<sub>2n</sub>) and ∃ (who plays all x<sub>2n+1</sub>). Hence ∀ (resp., ∃) wins iff E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,...) (resp., ¬E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,...)).
  - The game above has "clock"  $\omega$ .
- The "infinitely deep language" *M<sub>κλ</sub>*(**v**) contains more general formulas than the ∂x E(x) above, now clocked by posets which are simultaneously trees and meet-semilattices, in which every node has < κ upper covers and every branch has length a successor < λ.</p>

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

#### Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems ■ Idea: extend  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$  in such a way that infinite alternations of quantifiers be enabled.

- Game formula (of Gale-Stewart kind):  $\exists \vec{x} E(\vec{x})$  is  $(\forall x_0)(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots E(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$
- Can be interpreted via a game with two players, ∀ (who plays all x<sub>2n</sub>) and ∃ (who plays all x<sub>2n+1</sub>). Hence ∀ (resp., ∃) wins iff E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,...) (resp., ¬E(x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,...)).
  - The game above has "clock"  $\omega$ .
- The "infinitely deep language" M<sub>κλ</sub>(v) contains more general formulas than the ∂x E(x) above, now clocked by posets which are simultaneously trees and meet-semilattices, in which every node has < κ upper covers and every branch has length a successor < λ.</p>
- Satisfaction of an *M<sub>κλ</sub>*(v)-statement is expressed via the existence of a winning strategy in the associated game.

## Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Theorem (Tuuri 1992)

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal, let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $\kappa$ -ary vocabulary, set  $\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{\kappa^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ , and let E and F be  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa^{+}\kappa}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences such that the conjunction  $E \wedge F$  has no  $\mathbf{v}$ -model. Then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\lambda^{+}\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G, with vocabulary the intersection of the vocabularies of E and F, such that  $\models (E \Rightarrow G)$  and  $\models (F \Rightarrow \sim G)$ .

## Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

#### Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

### Theorem (Tuuri 1992)

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal, let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $\kappa$ -ary vocabulary, set  $\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{\kappa^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ , and let E and F be  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa^{+}\kappa}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences such that the conjunction  $E \wedge F$  has no  $\mathbf{v}$ -model. Then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\lambda^{+}\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G, with vocabulary the intersection of the vocabularies of E and F, such that  $\models (E \Rightarrow G)$  and  $\models (F \Rightarrow \sim G)$ .

■ Here, ~G denotes the sentence obtained by interchanging ♥ and ▲, ∃ and ∀, A and ¬A in the expression of G by a tree-clocked game; it implies the usual negation ¬G (which, however, is no longer an *M*<sub>λ+λ</sub>-sentence).

## Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

#### Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

### Theorem (Tuuri 1992)

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal, let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $\kappa$ -ary vocabulary, set  $\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{\kappa^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ , and let E and F be  $\mathscr{L}_{\kappa^{+}\kappa}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences such that the conjunction  $E \wedge F$  has no  $\mathbf{v}$ -model. Then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\lambda^{+}\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G, with vocabulary the intersection of the vocabularies of E and F, such that  $\models (E \Rightarrow G)$  and  $\models (F \Rightarrow \sim G)$ .

- Here, ~G denotes the sentence obtained by interchanging ♥ and ▲, ∃ and ∀, A and ¬A in the expression of G by a tree-clocked game; it implies the usual negation ¬G (which, however, is no longer an M<sub>λ+λ</sub>-sentence).
- By a 1971 counterexample due to Malitz, *M*<sub>λ+λ</sub> cannot be replaced by *L*<sub>∞∞</sub> in the statement of Tuuri's Theorem. <sub>∞∞∞</sub>

### Projective and co-projective

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Corollary

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Let **v** be a vocabulary. Then for all classes  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of **v**-structures, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $co-PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathsf{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

かへで 16/22

### Projective and co-projective

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Corollary

Let **v** be a vocabulary. Then for all classes  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of **v**-structures, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $co-PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{v}}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

#### Corollary

In order to prove that a  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$  class  $\mathfrak{C}$  of **v**-structures is not co- $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , it suffices to prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v})$ -definable.

16/22

### Projective and co-projective

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems Corollary

Let **v** be a vocabulary. Then for all classes  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of **v**-structures, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $co-PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , then there exists an  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentence G such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{v}}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

#### Corollary

In order to prove that a  $\mathrm{PC}(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$  class  $\mathfrak{C}$  of **v**-structures is not co- $\mathrm{PC}(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , it suffices to prove that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\infty}(\mathbf{v})$ -definable.

But then, what is the advantage of  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty\infty}$ -definable over  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ -definable or  $co-PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ -definable?

### That's back-and-forth!

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  There are several non-equivalent definitions of back-and-forth between models (extended to categorical model theory by Beke and Rosický in 2018).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

うへで 17/22

### That's back-and-forth!

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  There are several non-equivalent definitions of back-and-forth between models (extended to categorical model theory by Beke and Rosický in 2018).

#### Definition (Karttunen 1979)

For a regular cardinal  $\lambda$ , a  $\lambda$ -back-and-forth system between models  $\boldsymbol{M}$  and  $\boldsymbol{N}$  over a vocabulary  $\boldsymbol{v}$  consists of a poset  $(\mathcal{F}, \trianglelefteq)$ , together with a function  $f \mapsto \overline{f}$  with domain  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that each  $\overline{f} : \mathbf{d}(f) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathbf{r}(f)$  with  $\mathbf{d}(f) \leqslant \boldsymbol{M}$  and  $\mathbf{r}(f) \leqslant \boldsymbol{N}$ , and the following conditions hold:

**1**  $f \trianglelefteq g$  implies  $\overline{f} \subseteq \overline{g}$ ; **2**  $(\mathcal{F}, \trianglelefteq)$  is  $\lambda$ -inductive; **3** whenever  $f \in \mathfrak{T}$  and  $x \in M$  (resp.

3 whenever  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in M$  (resp.,  $y \in N$ ), there is  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f \subseteq g$  and  $x \in \mathbf{d}(g)$  (resp.,  $y \in \mathbf{r}(g)$ ).

We then write  $\mathbf{M} \leftrightarrows_{\lambda} \mathbf{N}$ .

### $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}$ versus back-and-forth

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Theorem (Karttunen 1979)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and let M and N be structures over a vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ . If  $M \leftrightarrows_{\lambda} N$ , then M and N satisfy the same  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences.

### $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}$ versus back-and-forth

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Theorem (Karttunen 1979)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and let M and N be structures over a vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ . If  $M \leftrightarrows_{\lambda} N$ , then M and N satisfy the same  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences.

シへで 18/22

• Extended by Karttunen to the even more general languages  $\mathcal{N}_{\infty\lambda}$ .

### $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}$ versus back-and-forth

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

#### Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Theorem (Karttunen 1979)

Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and let M and N be structures over a vocabulary  $\mathbf{v}$ . If  $M \leftrightarrows_{\lambda} N$ , then M and N satisfy the same  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ -sentences.

- Extended by Karttunen to the even more general languages  $\mathcal{N}_{\infty\lambda}$ .
- The syntax for 𝒩<sub>∞λ</sub> is far more complex than for 𝒩<sub>∞λ</sub>, the semantics are even trickier (not unique!).
Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems By the above,

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト こ のへの 19/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### By the above,

#### Proposition

In order to prove that a  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$  class  $\mathcal{C}$  of **v**-structures is not co- $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , it suffices to prove that it is not closed under  $\leftrightarrows_{\lambda}$  for a suitable regular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

うへで 19/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### By the above,

#### Proposition

In order to prove that a  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$  class  $\mathfrak{C}$  of **v**-structures is not co- $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , it suffices to prove that it is not closed under  $\leftrightarrows_{\lambda}$  for a suitable regular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

 Applies to earlier introduced examples Id<sub>c</sub>(unital rings), Id<sub>c</sub>(Abelian ℓ-groups), duals of real spectra of commutative unital rings, and many others: each of those classes fails to be closed under a suitable ⇔<sub>λ</sub>.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

By the above,

#### Proposition

Motivation

Elementary, projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems In order to prove that a  $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$  class  $\mathfrak{C}$  of **v**-structures is not co- $PC(\mathscr{L}_{\infty\infty})$ , it suffices to prove that it is not closed under  $\leftrightarrows_{\lambda}$  for a suitable regular cardinal  $\lambda$ .

- Applies to earlier introduced examples Id<sub>c</sub>(unital rings), Id<sub>c</sub>(Abelian ℓ-groups), duals of real spectra of commutative unital rings, and many others: each of those classes fails to be closed under a suitable ⇔<sub>λ</sub>.
- The real trouble is: find a back-and-forth system
   𝓕: 𝓜 ≒<sub>λ</sub> 𝔊 with 𝓜 ∈ 𝔅 and ℕ ∉ 𝔅 (where 𝔅 is the given class).

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  In many examples, such as Φ(unital rings) and Φ(Abelian ℓ-groups) (where Φ = Id<sub>c</sub>), ⇒<sub>λ</sub> arises from some λ-continuous functor Γ: [κ]<sup>inj</sup> → C with κ ≥ λ.

> <ロ > < 部 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 目 の Q () 20/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  In many examples, such as Φ(unital rings) and Φ(Abelian ℓ-groups) (where Φ = Id<sub>c</sub>), ⇒<sub>λ</sub> arises from some λ-continuous functor Γ: [κ]<sup>inj</sup> → C with κ ≥ λ. Here, [κ]<sup>inj</sup> denotes the category of all subsets of κ with one-to-one functions.

20/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems  In many examples, such as Φ(unital rings) and Φ(Abelian ℓ-groups) (where Φ = Id<sub>c</sub>), ⇒<sub>λ</sub> arises from some λ-continuous functor Γ: [κ]<sup>inj</sup> → C with κ ≥ λ. Here, [κ]<sup>inj</sup> denotes the category of all subsets of κ with one-to-one functions. In both examples above, κ = λ<sup>++</sup>.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

20/22

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems In many examples, such as Φ(unital rings) and Φ(Abelian ℓ-groups) (where Φ = Id<sub>c</sub>), ⇒<sub>λ</sub> arises from some λ-continuous functor Γ: [κ]<sup>inj</sup> → C with κ ≥ λ. Here, [κ]<sup>inj</sup> denotes the category of all subsets of κ with one-to-one functions. In both examples above, κ = λ<sup>++</sup>.
It is often the case that for X ⊆ κ with card X < λ, Γ(X) = Φ(Π(S<sub>|u|</sub> | u ∈ X<sup>⊆P</sup>)) (a "condensate"), where:
P is a suitable finite lattice (in both examples above,

 $P = \{0, 1\}^3$ ; also, this method provably fails for arbitrary finite bounded posets!);

$$2 X^{\subseteq P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{ X^D \mid D \subseteq P \};$$

- 3  $|u| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee \text{dom } u \text{ whenever } u \in X^{\subseteq P};$
- **4**  $\vec{S}$  is a non-commutative diagram, indexed by *P*, such that, for the given functor  $\Phi$ , the diagram  $\Phi(\vec{S})$  is commutative.

Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolation Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems In many examples, such as Φ(unital rings) and Φ(Abelian ℓ-groups) (where Φ = Id<sub>c</sub>), ⇒<sub>λ</sub> arises from some λ-continuous functor Γ: [κ]<sup>inj</sup> → C with κ ≥ λ. Here, [κ]<sup>inj</sup> denotes the category of all subsets of κ with one-to-one functions. In both examples above, κ = λ<sup>++</sup>.
It is often the case that for X ⊆ κ with card X < λ, Γ(X) = Φ(Π(S<sub>|u|</sub> | u ∈ X<sup>⊆P</sup>)) (a "condensate"), where:
P is a suitable finite lattice (in both examples above,

 $P = \{0,1\}^3$ ; also, this method provably fails for arbitrary finite bounded posets!);

$$X^{\subseteq P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{X^D \mid D \subseteq P\};$$

- 3  $|u| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee \text{dom } u \text{ whenever } u \in X^{\subseteq P};$
- **4**  $\vec{S}$  is a non-commutative diagram, indexed by *P*, such that, for the given functor  $\Phi$ , the diagram  $\Phi(\vec{S})$  is commutative.
- Finding P and S is usually hard, very much connected to the algebraic and combinatorial data of the given problem, or the given problem.

# The diagram $\vec{S}$ for Id<sub>c</sub>(Abelian $\ell$ -groups)



Projective classes as images of accessible functors

Motivation

Elementary projective

Tuuri's Interpolatior Theorem

Karttunen's back-and-forth systems

#### Thanks for your attention!

<□ ト < □ ト < □ ト < Ξ ト < Ξ ト Ξ の Q () 22/22